[Discussion Draft]

AMENDMENT TO

RULES COMMITTEE PRINT 118-10 OFFERED BY MR. PFLUGER OF TEXAS

At the end of subtitle E of title III, insert the following new section:

1	SEC. 3 REQUIREMENT FOR REALISTIC TRAINING EXER-
2	CISES UNDER CONTESTED AND AUSTERE
3	CONDITIONS.
4	(a) Requirement.—
5	(1) In General.—The Secretary of Defense
6	shall increase, through the development of new exer-
7	cises or the expansion of existing exercises, the use
8	of theater-wide and component-level training exer-
9	cises that stress operations conducted under con-
10	tested and austere conditions, including the condi-
11	tions described in paragraph (4).
12	(2) Tier 1 exercises.—In carrying out para-
13	graph (1), the Secretary shall ensure that, at a min-
14	imum, each exercise of the Armed Forces classified
15	as a "tier 1 exercise" is conducted, in part or in
16	whole, under such contested and austere conditions.

1	(3) Assessment of activities.—Each exer-
2	cise developed or expanded under paragraph (1)
3	shall include an [independent] [Note: independent
4	in what way? who would be conducting this? as-
5	sessment of the performance of that exercise from,
6	at a minimum, the perspective of—
7	(A) operational command; and
8	(B) control and tactical execution.
9	(4) Conditions described.—The conditions
10	described in this paragraph are conditions involving
11	the following:
12	(A) Limited command and control.
13	(B) Contested logistics.
14	(C) The use of non-electronic dependent
15	communications.
16	(D) The use of alternate positioning, navi-
17	gation, and timing methods.
18	(E) The conduct of operations in a highly
19	degraded electromagnetic environment with
20	widely dispersed forces.
21	(b) Exercises at Joint Pacific Alaska Range
22	COMPLEX.—The Secretary of Defense shall take such
23	steps as may be necessary to improve the infrastructure
24	and associated resources required to carry out effective
25	training exercises under [highly contested conditions]

1	[Note: are "highly contested" conditions supposed to be dis-
2	tinct from the "contested and austere" conditions referred
3	to in subsection (a)? If so, how are these two concepts dif-
4	ferent? at the Joint Pacific Alaska Range Complex.
	[Note: your authorization of appropriations speaks
s_I	pecifically to improve range scheduling. Did you want to
r	equire anything about that specific type of improvement
h	ere w/r/t JPARC?]
5	(c) Authorizations of Appropriations.—
6	(1) In general.—There is authorized to be
7	appropriated to the Secretary of Defense
8	[\$] [for [each of] fiscal year[s] 20]
9	to develop or expand the exercises required under
10	subsection (a).
11	(2) Travel and participation in exer-
12	CISES.—There is authorized to be appropriated to
13	the Secretary of Defense [\$] [for [each of]
14	fiscal year[s] 20] to cover costs incurred for
15	travel to, and participation in, the exercises required
16	under subsection (a).
17	(3) Range scheduling.—There is authorized
18	to be appropriated to the Secretary of Defense
19	[\$] [for [each of] fiscal year[s] 20] to
20	improve processes and software related to range

G:\M\18\PFLUGE\PFLUGE_065.XML

[Discussion Draft]

4

- 1 scheduling for ranges heavily used by the Armed
- 2 Forces, for the purpose of maximizing such use.

